- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor D. Reidel, Dordrecht.)
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- KLEIN, A. W. & SCHRUMPF, G. (1981). Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. A, 35, 425–430.
- LEUNG, P., COPPENS, P., MCMULLAN, R. K. & KOETZLE, T. F. (1981). Acta Cryst. B37, 1347–1352.
- MAIN, P., FISKE, S. J., HULL, S. E., LESSINGER, L., GERMAIN, G., DECLERCQ, J.-P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1982). MULTAN11/82. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- PALYI, G., PIACENTI, F. & MARKO, L. (1970). Inorg. Chim. Acta Rev. 4, 109-121.

- PATIN, H., MIGNANI, G. & VAN HULLE, M. (1979). Tetrahedron Lett. 26, 2441–2442.
- PENFOLD, B. R. & ROBINSON, B. H. (1973). Acc. Chem. Res. 6, 73-80.
- SCHMIDT, G. (1978). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 17, 392-400.
- SEIDLER, P. F., BRYNDZA, H. E., FROMER, J. E., STUHL, L. S. & BERGMAN, R. G. (1983). Organometallics, 2, 1701-1705.
- SEYFERTH, D. (1976). Adv. Organomet. Chem. 14, 97-144.
- SEYFERTH, D. & WITHERS, H. P. (1983). Inorg. Chem. 22, 2931-2936.

STREITWEISER, A. & HEATHCOCK, C. H. (1981). Introduction to Organic Chemistry, second edition, p. 84. New York: Macmillan.

SUTTON, P. W. & DAHL, L. F. (1967). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 89, 261–268.

Acta Cryst. (1985). C41, 355-358

Structure of Monoclinic Sodium (Ethylenediaminetetraacetato)holmate(III) Octahydrate, Na[Ho($C_{10}H_{12}N_2O_8$)].8H₂O: Disorder Induced by Radiation

BY LIESELOTTE K. TEMPLETON, DAVID H. TEMPLETON AND ALLAN ZALKIN

Materials and Molecular Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

(Received 25 September 1984; accepted 1 November 1984)

Abstract. $M_r = 620.3$, monoclinic, Fd11, a =19.333 (3), $\dot{b} = 35.366$ (6), c = 12.106 (2) Å, $\alpha =$ 90.40 (10)°, V = 8277.0 Å³, Z = 16 [conventional setting: Cc, a = 12.106(2), b = 19.333(3), c = $\beta = 108.54 (10)^{\circ}, \quad Z = 8],$ 18.650 (3) Å, $D_{r} =$ 1.991 g cm⁻³, Mo Ka, $\lambda(\alpha_1) = 0.70930$ Å, $\mu =$ 39.7 cm^{-1} , F(000) = 4924, T = 294 K, R = 0.026 for4843 reflections. The atomic positions are very similar to those in the analogous compounds of lighter lanthanoid elements which crystallize in the orthorhombic space group Fdd2. The monoclinic symmetry comes from ordering of water molecules in alternate sites which are occupied randomly in the orthorhombic structure. Exposure to X-rays induces disorder which makes the crystals more nearly orthorhombic. The Dy compound of the same composition is isomorphous with a = 19.358 (3), b = 35.408 (3), c = 12.103 (5) Å, $\alpha = 90.34 (3)^{\circ}$.

Introduction. Crystal structure parameters were desired for the title salt,



so that it could be used to measure anomalousscattering terms for Ho at various wavelengths

0108-2701/85/030355-04\$01.50

(Chapuis, Templeton & Templeton, 1985) by analysis of diffraction intensities. We had expected the crystals to have the orthorhombic structure with space group *Fdd2* which has been reported for the analogous salts of Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd, Tb, Dy and Er (Hoard, Lee & Lind, 1965; Koetzle & Hamilton, 1975; Nassimbeni, Wright, van Niekerk & McCallum, 1979; Templeton, Templeton, Zalkin & Ruben, 1982). Indeed, the diffraction patterns are very similar to those of the orthorhombic crystals, but close inspection reveals monoclinic symmetry with twice as large an asymmetric unit. We describe the structure in space group Fd11 (an unconventional setting of Cc) because that gives cell dimensions and atomic coordinates which are nearly the same as for Fdd2. We find the same monoclinic structure for crystals of the Dy compound, contrary to the findings of Nassimbeni et al. (1979). A recent neutron-diffraction study by Engel, Takusagawa & Koetzle (1984) of the orthorhombic Sm compound found disorder of water molecules among two sets of sites. The monoclinic symmetry involves an ordering of the occupancy of these same sites.

Experimental. The compound was made from Ho_2O_3 (Research Chemical Corporation) dissolved in HCl and mixed with a hot solution of $Na_2H_2(edta).2H_2O$ (Aldrich Chemical Company); pH adjusted to about 5 with NaOH; slow evaporation, then recrystallization

© 1985 International Union of Crystallography

Ho(1) Ho(2)

B1(1)

H⁻(2) H⁻(3)

H'(4)

月(5) 月(6)

ほ(7) 耳(8)

11 (9)

#7(10) #7(11)

11 (12)

B(13) B(14)

#(15)

117(16) Na(1)

N(1)

N(2) O(1)

O(2) O(3)

O(4)

O(5) O(6)

O(7)

O(8) C(1)

C(2) C(3)

C(4)

C(5)

C(6) C(7) C(8) C(9)

C(10)

Na(2) N(3)

N(4) O(9)

O(10)

O(11) O(12)

0(13)

O(14) O(15)

O(16)

C(11) C(12)

C(13) C(14)

C(15)

C(16) C(17)

C(18) C(19) C(20)

from water yielded crystals, many of which were twinned in a manner nearly to superimpose the reflections which are equivalent in orthorhombic symmetry (Dy compound made in the same way). Cell dimensions from 24 reflections in θ range 57 to 60° measured with Cu Ka and CAD-4 diffractometer. Another crystal $0.15 \times 0.24 \times 0.25$ mm, 15 faces. Picker FACS-I diffractometer. Cell dimensions from 12 reflections in θ range 20 to 22°. Analytical absorption correction 1.42 < A < 1.71. Max. $(\sin\theta)/\lambda$ $= 0.60 \text{ Å}^{-1}$. hkl range 0 to 12, -42 to 42, -14 to 14. 10% intensity-decay correction based on average of the changes of 400 (14%), 555 (2%), and 044 (13%). 4977 unique reflections; 91 with $I < \sigma$ and 43 others with $(\sin\theta)/\lambda < 0.11 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ given zero weight. Refinement started with known structure of Sm salt duplicated for monoclinic symmetry, refined on F. 24 H atoms included in refinement with each thermal parameter equal to that of adjacent C atom and with distance restraints C-H = 1.00 (5), H-H = 1.63 (10), C'-H= 2.06 (10), N'-H = 2.04 (10) Å (C' and N' next atoms in chain); water H atoms omitted. 330 parameters including f'' for Ho and anisotropic thermal parameters for Ho and water O atoms, but not counting those of H atoms. R = 0.026, wR = 0.034, S = 1.1, $w = [\sigma(F)]^{-2}$, p = 0.05 in calculation of $\sigma(F^2)$. Max. $\Delta/\sigma = 0.16$. $|\Delta\rho|_{\text{max}} = 0.7 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$. Max. correction for extinction 6% of F. Atomic f for Ho³⁺, Na⁺, O^{0.5-} (interpolated), and neutral O, N, C, and H from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Local unpublished programs and ORTEP (Johnson, 1965). Atomic parameters are listed in Table 1. For this setting the equivalent positions are: x, y, z; $\frac{1}{4} - x$, $\frac{1}{4} + y$, $\frac{1}{4} + z$; plus the translations of the F lattice. The origin of this doubly polar space group was defined by placing the center of gravity of the two Ho atoms at $(x, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$ in order to reduce covariance terms and to improve convergence (Templeton, 1960). The correct absolute polarity is confirmed by the refinement of f'' for Ho to the positive value 4.92 (10) e/atom. Cell dimensions for Dy compound from 13 reflections, CAD-4, Mo Ka.*

Discussion. The structure consists of two formula units at positions which are related approximately by a transformation $(\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z)$ which corresponds to a twofold axis in the **c** direction. Deletion of this symmetry element from *Fdd2* lowers the space group to *Fd*11. For most of the atoms this relation is accurate to better than 0.2 Å, and the structure is hardly different from that found for the orthorhombic compounds of the lighter lanthanoid elements. The only major difference

Table 1. Atomic parameters

$B_{\rm cq} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} B_{ij} a^*_{j} a^*_{j} a_{i}. \mathbf{a}_{j}.$

			B or
x	r	z	$B_{eq}^{\dagger}(\dot{A}^2)$
0.08268 (2)	0.15544 (1)	-0.00108(1)	1.55(1)
0.41843(2)	0.34456	0.00108	1.57 (1)*
0-1345 (3)	0.1100 (2)	0-1220 (4)	2.3 (2)†
0-1308 (3)	0.10709(14)	0-1208 (4)	2.1 (2)+
0.0014 (4)	0.09946 (13)	0-0121 (4)	2.6 (2)*
0.0289 (7)	0.1245 (4)	0.3801(14)	14.1 (7)*
0.0615(4)	0.0409(2)	0.1002(5)	7.5 (3)+
0.1354 (0)	0.1830 (3)	0.4503(7)	11.6 (5)†
0.0072 (6)	0.0509 (3)	0.2942(8)	9.7 (4)+
0.3656 (4)	0.38783(14)	-0.1232(4)	2.6 (2)*
0-3694 (4)	0-39352 (14)	0-1195 (4)	2.4 (2)†
0-4962 (4)	0-40195 (13)	-0·0141 (4)	2.5 (2)†
0-4824 (5)	0-3903 (3)	0-3226 (9)	7.7 (4)†
0.4374 (4)	0-4615 (2)	0.1033 (5)	3.7 (2)†
0-3476 (6)	0-4025 (2)	0.5656 (9)	7.8 (4)*
0.3851 (5)	0.3232(2)	0-4567 (5)	$6 \cdot 0 (3)^{+}$
0-4832(6)	0.4746(3)	0.3220(9)	3.5 (4)
0.1393(2)	0.13492(9)	0.0058(5)	2.0(1)
0.1487 (4)	0.1972(2)	-0.1603(5)	1.9(1)
0.1482 (4)	0.16832(14)	0.0724(4)	2.51 (9)
0.0967(3)	0.18267(13)	0.1789 (4)	2.12 (9)
0.2013(3)	0.16792 (13)	0.0299 (4)	2.30 (9)
0.0174 (3)	0.16333 (13)	-0.1622 (4)	1-95 (9)
- 0.1011 (4)	0.2088 (2)	0.1479 (6)	4.5(1)
0.1072 (4)	0.2319 (2)	0.2897 (5)	3-3(1)
0.3100 (5)	0.1760 (2)	-0.0271 (5)	3.7(1)
0.0059 (4)	0.1/08(2)	-0.3444 (5)	3.0(1)
0.0750(5)	0.2464(2) 0.2337(2)	-0.1359 (6)	2.4(2) 2.3(1)
-0.0181 (6)	0.2327(2)	0.0212(7)	$3 \cdot 2 (2)$
0.0504(5)	0.2007(2)	0.0866 (6)	2.6(1)
0.0951 (6)	0.2441(2)	0.1007 (7)	2.6 (2)
0.0992 (5)	0.2169 (2)	0-1979 (6)	2.0(1)
0.2220 (6)	0-1798 (2)	-0-1623 (6)	2.5(1)
0.2470 (6)	0-1743 (2)	- 0-0446 (6)	2.3 (1)
0.1142 (5)	0.1832 (2)	-0.2663 (7)	2.7(2)
0.0406(5)	0.1723(2)	-0.25/0(6)	2.1(1)
0.3656 (2)	0.30895(9)	0.3133(3)	2.0(1)
0.4472 (3)	0.2724(2) 0.2056(2)	0.1567(3)	1.8(1)
0.5293(3)	0.33353(13)	-0.0733(4)	2.53 (9)
0.4052 (3)	0.31877(13)	0.1810(4)	2.11 (9)
0.2991(3)	0.33121 (13)	0.0332 (4)	2.42 (9)
0.4829 (3)	0-33606 (13)	-0.1604 (4)	1.99 (9)
0.6037 (5)	0-2941 (2)	0-1490 (7)	5.3 (2)
0.3965 (3)	0.2701 (2)	0.2957 (4)	2.9(1)
0.1916 (5)	0.3210(2)	-0.0227 (5)	3.5(1)
0.4931 (4)	0.3282(2)	-0.3434(4)	3.0(1)
0.42/1(5) 0.2557(5)	0.2523(2) 0.2645(2)	-0.0945 (6)	2.1(1) 2.2(1)
0.5218 (6)	0.2689 (2)	-0.1301(0) 0.0244(7)	3.1(2)
0.5534 (6)	0.3009(2)	0.0879(6)	2.7 (1)
0.4100 (6)	0.2560 (2)	0.1055 (7)	2.5 (2)
0.4031 (5)	0.2844 (2)	0.2017 (6)	2.0(1)
0.2798 (6)	0-3173 (2)	-0.1562 (6)	2.5(1)
0.2543 (6)	0.3232 (2)	0.0399 (6)	2.2(1)
0.3869 (5)	0-3131 (2)	-0.2625 (6)	2.6 (1)
0-4606 (5)	0.3264 (2)	-0.2550 (5)	1-6 (1)

is in the locations and hydrogen bonding of a few of the water molecules. The above transformation fails by 0.46 Å for the W(7)-W(15) pair, 0.91 Å for W(4)-W(12) and 1.07 Å for W(8)-W(16). The last two pairs correspond to those which are distributed randomly in alternate sites in the Sm structure, and designated as 4B, 4A and 8B, 8A, respectively, by Engel, Takusagawa & Koetzle (1984) in their neutron-diffraction study. In that work 18 hydrogen bonds were identified. Taking account of the half-occupancy of some sites, these become 28 distinct bonds in the monoclinic symmetry. We find exactly the same bonds, on the basis of O-atom positions, plus one more: W(4)-W(14) = 2.75 (2) Å.

^{*} Tables of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom coordinates, and bond distances have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 39877 (23 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Н

In the Sm salt the corresponding distance was found to be 3.04 Å, but an H atom [designated as 'U(4B)'] is pointed in about the right direction for such a bond. The network of bonds near the *c* axis, where the higher symmetry breaks down, is shown in Fig. 1. Water molecules W(8) and W(16), which are equivalent in Fdd2, form hydrogen bonds to W(15) and O(5), atoms which are not equivalent even in chemical state. This unsymmetrical bonding pulls them and their neighbors away from locations which conform to the higher symmetry. The only other lack of Fdd2 symmetry in the topology of the bonds is in the chain W(12)- $H \cdots W(16)$ - $H \cdots W(8)$ - $H \cdots W(4)$, if the H atoms are assigned according to the neutron-diffraction study; an extra H atom on W(4) is not involved in a bond.

Hydrogen-bond O–O distances (deposited) range from 2.64 to 2.85 Å, except W(8)-W(4) = 2.88 (2) and W(12)-W(16) = 2.98 (1) Å. These two bonds join the atoms which are most involved in the orderdisorder transition discussed below, and these distances may be affected by it.

Exposure to X-rays causes the unit-cell angle α to become more nearly equal to 90°, with insignificant



Fig. 1. Hydrogen bonding near the c axis. H atoms are shown at calculated positions. In the model for the radiation-induced disorder, some of the bonds to O(5) and W(15) are broken, new bonds are formed to W(7) and O(13), and the average structure approaches orthorhombic symmetry with a twofold axis at the center of the figure.



Fig. 2. Coordination of Ho(1) and atomic labeling of its neighbors. Labels for the corresponding neighbors of Ho(2) are obtained by adding 2 for N, 8 for O or water, and 10 for C.

Table 2. Neighbor distances (Å) for holmium

(1)	O(1)	2.353 (6)	Ho(2) O(9)	2.348 (6)
	O(2)	2-391 (5)	O(10)	2.381 (5)
	O(3)	2.365 (7)	O(11)	2.387(7)
	O(4)	2-341 (5)	O(12)	2.335 (5)
	W(1)	2-387 (5)	- 14/(9)	2.383 (5)
	II'(2)	2.449 (5)	- H/(10)	2-432 (5)
	W(3)	2-531 (6)	H/(11)	2.533 (6)
	N(1)	2.597 (6)	N(3)	2.613 (6)
	N(2)	2.655 (6)	N(4)	2.667 (6)

changes in axial lengths. As noted above, the diffraction intensities tend to decrease, but not uniformly. At the end of the data collection α was 90.28°. For another crystal, after much exposure to X-rays, α was 90.11°. Values of 90.49 (1) and 90.45 (2)° were measured for two other fresh crystals. We attribute these changes to the introduction of disorder in the hydrogen bonding, with an approach to the symmetry of the *Fdd2* structure. Since our specimen suffered some damage in the course of the experiment, the results include the effects of some of this disorder, for example in the highly anisotropic thermal parameters for some of the water molecules.

Cell dimensions of the Dy compound (*Abstract*) show that it is also monoclinic. Numerous cell determinations of crystals of the Pr, Sm and Gd compounds gave angles very close to 90° and no indication of monoclinic symmetry. It is possible that different methods of crystallization would produce ordered specimens of these materials, or that the monoclinic structure occurs in salts which have not been studied carefully, particularly those of Tb and Er.

Each Ho³⁺ ion is complexed by six atoms from a single edta group and also three water molecules, as depicted for Ho(1) in Fig. 2. A similar drawing for Ho(2) is almost indistinguishable at this scale. Distances from each Ho atom to its nine neighbors, listed in Table 2, are similar to those reported for the orthorhombic crystals (Templeton, Templeton, Zalkin & Ruben, 1982), but slightly smaller because of the lanthanoid contraction of ionic radius; on the average they are 0.027 Å less than in the Gd salt. Bond distances within the edta moiety (deposited) are normal; the average values for the various chemical types of bond |N-C| = 1.482(5), C-O| = 1.252(4), C-C =1.505 (5) (ethylenediamine) and 1.510 (7) Å (acetate)] agree within 0.006 Å with averages from the Pr. Sm and Gd structures (Templeton et al., 1982).

We thank Mrs Helena Ruben for assistance in preparation of crystals and Drs Engel, Takusagawa and Koetzle for their results in advance of publication. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under grant No. CHE-8217443 and by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division of the US Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

References

- CHAPUIS, G., TEMPLETON, D. H. & TEMPLETON, L. K. (1985). Acta Cryst. In the press.
- ENGEL, D. W., TAKUSAGAWA, F. & KOETZLE, T. F. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 1687-1693.
- HOARD, J. L., LEE, B. & LIND, M. D. (1965). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 87, 1612–1613.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor D. Reidel, Dordrecht.)

Acta Cryst. (1985). C41, 358-360

JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.

- KOETZLE, T. F. & HAMILTON, W. C. (1975). Anomalous Scattering, edited by S. RAMASESHAN & S. C. ABRAHAMS, pp. 489–502. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- NASSIMBENI, L. R., WRIGHT, M. R. W., VAN NIEKERK, J. C. & MCCALLUM, P. A. (1979). Acta Cryst. B35, 1341–1345.
- TEMPLETON, D. H. (1960). Z. Kristallogr. 113, 234-240.
- TEMPLETON, L. K., TEMPLETON, D. H., ZALKIN, A. & RUBEN, H. W. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 2155–2159.

Structure of μ -Oxo-bis[(2-hydroxyethanesulfonato)triphenylantimony(V)], [Sb₂O(C₂H₅O₄S)₂(C₆H₅)₆]

By HANS PREUT, ROBERT RÜTHER AND FRIEDO HUBER*

Lehrstuhl für Anorganische Chemie II, Universität Dortmund, Postfach 500 500, D-4600 Dortmund 50, Federal Republic of Germany

(Received 1 April 1984; accepted 3 December 1984)

Abstract. $M_r = 972.39$, monoclinic, $P2_1/c$, a = 10.861 (4), b = 19.916 (6), c = 9.075 (4) Å, $\beta = 94.15$ (5)°, U = 1958 Å³, Z = 2, $D_x = 1.649$ Mg m⁻³, λ (Mo $K\alpha$) = 0.71069 Å, $\mu = 1.56$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 972, T = 294 (1) K. Final R = 0.032 for 2727 unique observed X-ray diffractometer data. Two only slightly distorted trigonal-bipyramidal units (μ -O)–SbPh₃–O–S(O₂)C₂H₄OH with O atoms in apical and C(phenyl) atoms in equatorial positions are linked *via* a linear Sb–O–Sb bridge. The Sb– μ -O bond of 1.936 (1) Å is rather short. The Sb–O bond to the unidentately coordinated sulfonate group [2.276 (4) Å] appears to have appreciably high ionic character.

Introduction. The chemistry of triorgano-Group V element sulfonates is rather unexplored and structures of such compounds are unknown. We recently obtained for the first time, by reaction of Ph_3SbO ($Ph = C_6H_5$) with sulfonic acids in different solvents, antimony compounds which correspond to the formula $(Ph_3SbO_3SR)_2O$ (HO_3SR = sulfonic acid) having, according to spectroscopic data, an Sb-O-Sb bridge. Compounds of the type $(R_3SbX)_2O$ (R = organogroup,X = anionic ligand) may have a bent Sb-O-Sb bridge, as in (Ph₃SbN₃)₂O (Sb-O-Sb:139.8°, Ferguson Ridley, 1973), or a linear bridge as in & (Ph₃SbOOC₄H₉)₂O (Starikova, Shchegoleva, Trunov & Pokrovskava, 1978). To obtain more facts about influences which determine the type of bridge in distiboxane and also to learn more about the coor-

0108-2701/85/030358-03\$01.50

dination of the sulfonate group with organoelement moieties (a field which has also been neglected) we started to determine the crystal and molecular structure of organoantimony sulfonates and report here the structure of $(Ph_3SbO_3SCH_2CH_2OH)_2O$.

Experimental. (Ph₃SbO₃SCH₂CH₂OH)₂O crystallizes from a solution of 10 mmol isethionic acid and 10 mmol Ph₃SbO in 250 ml acetone after standing for 1 d. Crystal size $0.32 \times 0.29 \times 0.10$ mm, $\omega/2\theta$ scan, scan speed $0.04-0.01^{\circ} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in θ . Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer, graphite-monochromated Mo $K\alpha$ radiation; lattice parameters from least-squares fit with 25 reflexions in range $9.3 \le \theta \le 14.0^\circ$; three standard reflexions recorded every 4 h, only random deviations; 3799 reflexions, $1 \leq \theta \leq 25^{\circ}$, $-10 \le h \le 10$, $0 \le k \le 23$, $0 \le l \le 12$; after averaging 3541 unique reflexions, 2727 with $I > 3\sigma(I)$, Lorentz-polarization correction and absorption correction via ψ scans; systematic absences: (h0l) l = 2n+1, (0k0) k = 2n+1, space group $P2_1/c$; structure solution via Patterson function, ΔF syntheses and full-matrix least-squares refinements with anisotropic temperature factors for all non-H atoms; complex neutral-atom scattering factors from Cromer (1974) and Cromer & Waber (1974). H atoms placed in geometrically calculated positions $(C-H \ 1.08 \text{ Å})$ and not included in structure-factor calculation. Least-squares refinement based on F and 241 refined parameters, R = 0.032, unit weights; max. Δ/σ for all parameters 0.02, av. < 0.00; no significant features in final ΔF synthesis; programs: Enraf-Nonius SDP (1978), ORTEP (Johnson, 1976) and POP1 (van de Waal, 1976).

© 1985 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.